

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/022,132	02/11/1998	JOHANNES F.M. D'ACHARD	PHN-16.219	5325		
24737 75	590 04/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		ERTY & STANDARDS				
P.O. BOX 3001						
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/14/2002	38		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/022,132		D'ACHARD, JOHANNES F.M.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
Corbett B. Coburn		3714	

--Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>29 December 2003</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.		The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.	$\boxtimes$	A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.		The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.	$\boxtimes$	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
		See attached

Application/Control Number: 09/022,132 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Appellant's Notice of Appeal has been entered.

- 2. Appellant's grouping of the claims is wrong. Appellant states that Claims 1-4 and 6-8 stand or fall together and that Claims 10-14 stand or fall together. Yet Appellant fails to list the rejection of claims 10-14 as an issue on appeal. Furthermore, Appellant fails to present any arguments concerning claims 10-14 or to show separate patentability of these claims. The mere statement that these claims contain an additional limitation is insufficient to show separate patentability.
- 3. The Brief is also defective in that it states that claims stand or fall together and then attempts to discuss two or more claims within the group. Appellant must choose one claim as a representative or one claim will be chosen by the Board. Appellant may not argue multiple claims. Examiner suggests that for each group of claims that stand or fall together, Appellant explicitly designate the representative claim in order to avoid confusion.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (703) 305-3319. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on (703) 308-1806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/022,132

Art Unit: 3714

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

che

JESSICA HARRISON PRIMARY EXAMINER